

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

MARY PEARSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 3:20-cv-627

ANDREW SAUL,
Commissioner of Social Security,


Defendant.

ORDER ON THE PARTIES' JOINT MOTION FOR REMAND FOR FURTHER
PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SENTENCE FOUR OF 42 U.S.C. § 405(g)

Pursuant to the parties' joint motion to remand this action, this Court now, upon substantive review, hereby enters an order under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) reversing the Commissioner's decision with a remand of the cause to the Commissioner according to the following terms. *See Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 296 (1993); *Melkonyan v. Sullivan*, 501 U.S. 89, 97-98 (1991).

On remand, an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) will offer the claimant the opportunity for a hearing. The ALJ will reassess the claimant's residual functional capacity, reevaluate the claimant's subjective symptoms, proceed through the sequential disability evaluation process as appropriate, and issue a new decision. If warranted, the ALJ will obtain supplemental vocational expert testimony.

SO ORDERED this 15TH day of MARCH, 2021.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "James D. Peterson", written over a horizontal line.

Honorable James D. Peterson
United States District Court Judge